UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,859	10/11/2004	Nitesh Ratnakar		5858
Peter C. Stomm	7590 12/18/200 ia	EXAMINER		
Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C.			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
250 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Milwaukee, WI 53202			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/711,859	RATNAKAR, NITESH		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	John P. Leubecker	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of preplies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloc) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO`ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The claims have been amended to include 1, for example, now provides the "shaft" with a ste "overlapping forward and rear images" since since at least these reasons, at least further considerate (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	le limitations that were not considere eering mechanism. In addition, ther e such term could not be found in a ion of the claims and prior art applic	ed in the previous Office might be an issue wing cusory review of the sable to these claims is	ith the term pecification. For necessary
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· ——	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,10,12-16,41,43,47-50,54-57 and 6 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-39.	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	rit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ea.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/John P. Leubecker/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081217

Continuation of 13. Other: Note that currently presented claim 44 was previously canceled in amendment filed July 17, 2008; Claim 48 is not "withdrawn" and now indicated--it appears that this should be "canceled".